

3537 water closet toilet seats, 10 baths and 40 urinals. The statewise and yearwise details are given in the statement attached.

There is only one proposal received from Govt. of Madhya Pradesh in June, 96 which is in the pipeline and is likely to be finalised shortly.

STATEMENT

Status of Sanctions of Shelter & Sanitation Facilities for Footpath Dwellers in Urban Areas

For the year 1994-95 (Rs. In lakh) (As on 31.3.95)

State	No. of Schemes	Project Cost	Loan Sanct.	Subsidy Sanct.	Units Sanctioned			
					seats /beds	WC	bath	urinals
Assam	1	7.24	5.24	2.00	200	8	8	0
Bihar	4	100.61	63.80	15.95	1595	0	0	0
Chandigarh	1	39.61	0.00	2.74	274	0	0	0
Kerala	3	50.68	30.10	3.58	358	0	0	0
Maharashtra	5	595.10	0.00	326.48	0	2272	2	60
Madhya Pradesh	2	454.10	222.13	130.90	0	935	0	0
Rajasthan	1	21.65	0.00	1.68	168	0	0	0
	17	1268.99	321.27	483.33	2595	3215	10	60

FOR THE YEAR 1995-96

Bihar	2	92.03	70.80	17.70	1770	0	0	0
Maharashtra	1	75.84	0.00	46.20	330	0	0	0
Madhya Pradesh	1	124.67	63.85	45.08	0	322	0	0
Rajasthan	1	6.00	0.00	0.45	45	0	0	0
	5	298.54	134.65	109.43	2145	322	0	0

Private Contractors for supply of Drinking Water

4244. SHRI DADA BABURAO PARANJPE : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Central Government are aware that Madhya Pradesh Government is planning the involvement of private contractors in distribution of drinking water in main cities of the State; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTR OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU) : (a) Yes, Sir. Madhya Pradesh State Government is considering a proposal for privatisation of Dewas Water Supply Project.

(b) There is a wide gap between the available resources and funds required for timely execution of the sanctioned urban water supply schemes, which results in time and cost overruns. Keeping the same in view, the State Government is considering the option of

privatisation of some of the Urban Water Supply Schemes on an experimental basis. However, no final decision has yet been taken in the matter by the State Government.

[English]

Oil Pilferage

4245. DR. A.K. PATEL : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) the number of cases of oil pilferage occurred in different parts of the country;

(b) action taken against the persons involved;

(c) the details of preventive measures taken or proposed to be taken by the ONGC to prevent the recurrence of such cases in future; and

(d) the total loss incurred by the ONGC on this account so far?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI T.R. BAALU) :

(a) A total of 34 cases of oil pilferage were detected by

ONGC at its work centres in different parts of the country during the period from 1994-95 till date.

(b) FIRs were lodged with the Police Stations concerned. The case of repeated trunk line puncturing in Gujarat was brought to the notice of the State Government authorities and Director General of Police, Gujarat.

(c) In addition to joint inspections by security and production personnel, fencing of oil wells with barbed wires, laying of flow lines underground instead of exposed ones and deploying of armed guards, in theft prone areas, ONGC have inducted CISF personnel for the purpose and also introduced air surveillance of oil fields/pipelines by helicopters.

(d) ONGC have estimated a net loss of about Rs.7.39 lakhs on account of oil pilferages during the period from 1994-95 till date.

[Translation]

Review of Projects

4246. SHRIMATI SHEELA GAUTAM :

SHRI SHIVRAJ SINGH :

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Planning Commission has undertaken review of the projects/schemes started under the funds allocated to the States and the Union Territories under the annual plans of 1994-95 and 1995-96;

(b) if so, the names of States and Union Territories which failed to fully utilise the funds allocated for this purpose;

(c) whether the funds allocated have been utilised

for the special schemes/projects included in the annual plans of the States and Union Territories;

(d) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(e) the details of the criteria for increasing/decreasing plan allocation of States and Union Territories?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) An overall assessment/review of the performance during 1994-95 of important schemes/projects of the States/UTs was undertaken during annual plan discussions for 1995-96. However, the annual plan discussions for 1996-97 wherein review of performance relating to 1995-96 would have been undertaken have not yet been completed.

(b) to (d). The statements of Approved Outlays and Revised Outlays for 1994-95 and 1995-96 of States/UTs under various heads are attached. The expenditure figures under respective heads for those years are not yet available.

(e) The general principle followed for adjusting central plan assistance to the Non-special Category States/UTs (with legislature) is that the assistance is deducted proportionately if the total expenditure of States/UTs falls below the approved/revised approved outlays or if the expenditure under earmarked sectors/schemes falls below the approved/revised approved outlay in those sectors/schemes. In case of Special Category States, the same principle is applied mutatis mutandis after making allowance for diversion of 20 per cent Central assistance for non-plan purposes. As regards UTs (without legislature) whose plans are financed entirely out of Central assistance, the Central assistance is decided on the basis of their needs and availability of resources.